

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,413	02/08/2001	Bernard J. Banks	PC10901A	9774
7590 07/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Paul H. Ginsburg			HENLEY III, RAYMOND J	
Pfizer Inc 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
235 East 42nd Street			1614	
New York, NY 10017-5755			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/779,413	BANKS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond J Henley III	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	uly 2004.					
•	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition acc	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the letton is required in the let	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
,	daminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1614

CLAIMS 1-11 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Because this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 16, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of an endothelin-mediated disorder, does not reasonably provide enablement for the prophylaxis of an endothelin-mediated disorder. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The burden of enabling the prophylaxis of an endothelin-mediated disorder would be much greater than that of enabling the treatment of such a disorder. In the instant case, the specification does not provide guidance as to how one skilled in the art would accomplish the objective of preventing such a disorder or how a patient could be kept from ever being susceptible to such a disorder. Nor is there any guidance provided as to

Art Unit: 1614

a specific protocol to be utilized in order to show the efficacy of the presently claimed active ingredients for preventing an endothelin-mediated disorder.

Specifically, it is highly unlikely, and the Office would require experimental evidence to support the contention that the claim specified actives could actually prevent an endothelin-mediated disorder by simply administering, by any method, an amount of the claim specified active agents. The specification fails to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to practice and use the compositions in the present claim for preventing the above conditions.

The term "prophylaxis" is synonymous with the term "curing" and both circumscribe methods of treatment having absolute success. Since absolute success is not reasonably possible with most diseases/disorders, especially those having etiologies and pathophysiological manifestations as complex/poorly understood as the claimed disorders and the art is currently unaware of any pharmacological intervention that provides a cure for any or all endothelin-mediated disorders, such as chronic renal failure, hypertension or congestive heart failure, the specification is viewed as lacking an adequate enabling disclosure of preventing an ischemic condition.

It is suggested that the claims be limited to the treatment of an endothelinmediated disorder in order to overcome this ground of rejection.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-11 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harada et al. '719 and Harada et al. '938, each of record, for the reasons of record as set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered, but fail to persuade the

Art Unit: 1614

Examiner of error in his determination of obviousness.

Applicants' arguments, however, pertaining to the probative value of the host tested and that the compound of Harada '938 Example 2 is not within the scope of the present claims are deemed persuasive. Accordingly, the Examiner's position no longer includes the contention that the host treated is not representative of the claimed hosts or that the present claims include the compound of Harada '938 at Example 2.

Applicants have argued that the compounds as tested are representative of the genus claimed because the "Ar" group can be a phenyl or a naphthyl group and one skilled in the art would recognize that the "Ar" groups are related.

In response thereto, it is noted that the "Ar" group of applicants' claims are not merely phenyl or naphthyl, but a phenyl or naphthyl that may be substituted with a great number of different and distinct chemical moieties. It thus remains the Examiner's position that the tested species are not reasonably representative of the genus claimed.

Applicants have also argued that the formulation claims have been amended to recite that it is suitable for oral administration to the animal. Such appears to be a difference without a distinction over the previous claimed language "adapted for administration". It remains the Examiner's position that the results do not establish unexpected results for the composition, but only to the manner in which the composition is used.

Accordingly, the claims are deemed properly rejected and none are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond J Henley III whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 1614

272-0575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am to 4:00 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond J Henley III Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

July 26, 2004